1	Termite mound emissions of CH_4 and CO_2 are primarily determined by
2	seasonal changes in termite biomass and behavior
3	
4	Hizbullah Jamali ^{A*} , Stephen J. Livesely ^{AB} , Tracy Z. Dawes ^C , Lindsay B.
5	Hutley ^D and Stefan K. Arndt ^A
6	
7	^A Department of Forest and Ecosystem Science, The University of Melbourne, 500 Yarra
8	Boulevard, Richmond VIC 3121, Australia
9	^B School of Geography and Environmental Science, Monash University, Clayton, VIC,
10	Australia
11	^C CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences, PMB 44 Winnellie NT 0822, Australia
12	^D School of Environmental and Life Sciences and School of Environmental Research,
13	Charles Darwin University, NT 0909, Australia,
14	* Corresponding author Email: <u>h.jamali@pgrad.unimelb.edu.au</u> , hizbjamali@gmail.com
15	
16	
17	
18	Keywords
19	Carbon dioxide, methane, Microcerotermes nervosus, mounds, termites, termite biomass
20	
21	Abstract

22 Termites are an uncertain component in the global source budgets of CH₄ and CO₂. Large 23 seasonal variations in termite mound fluxes have been reported in tropical savannas which should be accounted for when scaling up to annual budgets. The factors driving 24 25 these seasonal variations in termite mound fluxes are unknown. This paper aims to explain the processes responsible for these seasonal variations in CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes 26 27 from the mounds of *Microcerotermes nervosus* (Hill) in Australian tropical savannas. 28 Fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂ measured from termite mound sub-samples in the laboratory were 29 a direct function of termite biomass in those mound sub-samples. Termite biomass in 30 mound sub-samples was 10 fold greater in the wet season as compared to the dry season, 31 and was the main factor responsible for the observed seasonal variations in mound fluxes. 32 When expressed per unit termite biomass, termite fluxes were 1.2 (CH_4) and 1.4 (CO_2) 33 fold greater in the wet season compared to the dry season. However, the slightly greater 34 flux emissions per unit termite biomass in the wet season can only explain a small part of 35 the large seasonal variations in mound fluxes. The role of mound diffusivity, measured 36 indirectly, and seasonal variation in CH₄ oxidation by mound material was negligible in 37 driving the seasonal variations in mound CH₄ fluxes. The short term effect of temperature 38 on flux was significant while that of moisture was not. These results emphasize that 39 seasonal termite population dynamics are likely the main driver for the observed seasonal 40 differences in mound fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 . These findings highlight the need to 41 combine future studies of termite fluxes with detailed studies of termite population 42 dynamics.

- 43
- 44
- 45

- 47
- 48

49 1. Introduction

Termites are one of the most uncertain components in the global budgets of CH₄ and CO₂ 50 51 (Bignell et al., 1997; Brümmer et al., 2009; Khalil et al., 1990). This uncertainty is 52 mainly associated with scaling up factors, such as global estimates of termite biomass or 53 number of nests (Khalil et al., 1990), and the lack of process-based understanding of CH₄ 54 and CO₂ exchange between termites and the atmosphere. An important factor for 55 consideration in the scaling up of fluxes from termites is the large seasonal variations in 56 termite mound fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂. CH₄ fluxes from a mound of *Coptotermes lacteus* 57 in summer were greater than rest of the three seasons combined in the sub-tropical 58 Australia, with large seasonal variations in mound CO_2 fluxes as well (Khalil et al., 59 1990). In the tropical savannas of northern Australia, CH₄ fluxes from the mounds of four 60 termite species were 5 to 26 fold greater in the wet season as compared to the dry season 61 (Jamali et al., 2010). In an Australian tropical semi-arid woodland, Holt (1987) reported 62 large seasonal variation in CO₂ fluxes from the mounds of Amitermes laurensis. These 63 seasonal variations in mound fluxes have mainly been correlated with temperature in the 64 sub-tropics (Khalil et al., 1990), and with moisture in the tropical savannas as there is 65 only a small variation in temperature in the tropical savannas on seasonal scale (Jamali et al., 2010). While the effect of temperature on termite fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂ have been 66 67 reported (Jamali et al., 2010; Shelton and Appel, 2000; Zimmerman and Greenberg, 68 1983), the effect of moisture is still unknown. However, to our knowledge no process

based study has been conducted which could confirm the factors causing these seasonal variations in mound fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 in the tropical savannas.

The observed seasonality in mound fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 can be caused by a number of different factors, such as emissions per time, termite biomass, termite activity, gas diffusivity of termite mound material and CH_4 uptake by mound material:

First, these seasonal variations in mound fluxes could be caused by a seasonal change in *emissions per unit termite biomass*. Environmental factors, such as temperature, moisture,
or food quality can change the rates of metabolism and respiration in termites.

Second, the seasonal dynamics in mound fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 could also be caused by *changes in the number of termites per mound*. For example, certain termite species can lose up to 50% of their colony biomass as a result of swarming (Wood and Sands, 1978).

Third, seasonal variation in *termite activity* such as foraging outside mounds can result in seasonal variation in mound-based flux measurements. This can have implications on termite flux estimates based on termite mounds alone, as only a fraction of the termites in the colony will be present in the mound whilst the remainder of the termites will be emitting CH_4 and CO_2 elsewhere in the ecosystem.

Fourth, termite mound walls are mainly composed of soil and can oxidize a fraction of CH₄ produced by termites inside mounds as a result of methanotrophic activity (Sugimoto et al., 1998). Variable mound water contents across seasons can cause *variable* CH_4 oxidation rates (and thus variable mound CH₄ fluxes) as oxidation rates can be influenced by moisture. Seasonal variations in mound fluxes of CO₂ can also be partly because of the effect of moisture and temperature on the respiration of microbial biomass in the mound walls (Holt, 1987).

Fifth, seasonal variation in *mound diffusivity* as a result of changing mound water content
can also cause seasonal variation in mound fluxes.

94 The main aim of this study was to investigate for the first time the factors causing the 95 seasonal variations in mound fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂ in the tropical savannas. All the 96 experiments were conducted on Microcerotermes nervosus which is one of the most 97 common mound-building termite species in northern Australia (Watson and Abbey, 98 1993). The objectives were to investigate the: (1) seasonality in CH_4 and CO_2 emissions 99 per unit termite biomass, (2) seasonality in termite biomass dynamics in mounds; (3) 100 seasonality in mound diffusivity and fluxes of mound material as a result of microbial 101 activity, and (4) short term effect of temperature and moisture on termite (not mounds) 102 fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂.

103

104 2. Methods

105 2.1 Site

Field work was conducted in a savanna woodland at the CSIRO Tropical Ecosystems
Research Center (TERC 12° 24′ S, 130 ° 55′ E), near Darwin in northern Australia. The
vegetation is dominated by *Eucalyptus tetrodonta* F. Muell and *E. miniata* Cunn. ex
Schauer over a ground layer of annual and perennial C4 grasses, and a thick litter layer
(Dawes-Gromadzki and Spain, 2003).

111

112 2.2 Field-based flux measurements

113 Five mounds of *Microcerotermes nervosus* (Hill) were repeat-measured for CH₄ and CO₂

114 fluxes between February and December 2009, at intervals of four to six weeks. Mound

115 selection was not random; rather mounds in locations that permitted easy access for measurements were selected. Fluxes were measured using static manual chambers of 116 volume 0.02 m³, constructed from polyvinylchloride. A collar was permanently installed 117 118 around the mounds to a soil depth of 3 cm. A chamber of equal circumference to the 119 collar was carefully placed over the mound and connected to the collar using a ribbon of 120 closed cell foam and several tension spring-clamps. This chamber was then connected on the other end to a Los Gatos Research (LGRTM) Fast Greenhouse Gas Analyzer (FGGA) 121 through a pair of gas tubes and SwagelokTM push-fittings. A LCD screen was attached to 122 the FGGA which displayed the CH₄ and CO₂ concentrations measured at a frequency of 123 124 1Hz (i.e. one sample per second) for a period of five minutes per chamber. The operation 125 of the FGGA is based on an off-axis integrated cavity output spectroscopy combined with 126 a highly specific narrow band laser for the detection of CH₄ and CO₂ strongly reflective 127 mirrors to obtain a laser path length of $2-20 \times 103$ m. Further technical details on FGGA 128 operation can be found in Hendriks et al. (2008). Flux was calculated from the linear 129 change in the concentration of CH₄ and CO₂ in the chamber headspace by multiplying the 130 slope $(ppm_v hour^{-1})$ by the chamber volume (L) and dividing by the mound basal area (m^2) . Flux was then corrected for temperature and pressure based on the ideal gas law. 131

132

133 2.2.1 Auxiliary environmental measurements

Mound temperature (T_{mound}) was measured immediately after the mound flux measurement by horizontally inserting a hand held Cole-Palmer[®] stainless steel temperature probe 6 cm into the mound. Mound water content was not directly measured to avoid destruction of the mounds required for repeat measurement of CH₄ and CO₂ flux across the seasons. Instead, soil water content (%) was measured gravimetrically by collecting five soil core samples from the top 6 cm next to each mound using a brass soil sampling ring. These were weighed, oven dried at 105 °C and reweighed. Monthly rainfall (mm) data was obtained from the Darwin Airport meteorological station of the Bureau of Meteorology, Australia; located less than 2 km from the TERC site.

143

144 2.3 Flux and termite biomass measurements in the laboratory

Sub-samples (n = 22) from *M. nervosus* mounds were collected in 3 L glass jars and 145 equilibrated at 25°C for five hours in a temperature controlled room at Charles Darwin 146 147 University, prior to measurement of CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes. Fluxes were measured by 148 connecting the glass jars to the FGGA and observing a linear change in the headspace 149 concentration of CH₄ and CO₂ at a frequency of 1 Hz for a period of 10 minutes. Termite 150 biomass was determined immediately afterwards by breaking down the mound sub-151 samples and collecting individual termites using forceps. The fresh biomass of workers and soldiers were weighed separately to an accuracy of 10^{-4} g. The mean biomass of an 152 153 individual termite within a caste (i.e. workers, soldiers and alates) was determined by 154 weighing 10 individuals from each caste from most of the mound sub-samples. The volume of mound sub-samples was measured, before breaking and removing the termites, 155 156 by cling-wrapping the sample in a thin plastic sheet and placing it in a partially water 157 filled calibrated container. The volume of displaced water was subtracted from the 158 chamber volume to calculate the net headspace volume. As hand sorting is a time 159 consuming process, a maximum of only two mound sub-samples were collected and measured each day. This experiment was carried out in both the wet (n = 22) and the dry 160 (n = 22) season and was completed within a two week period for both seasons. 161

162 Fluxes were also measured from the mound material that was left over after removing the termites from mound sub-samples. These mound material samples were incubated for 20 163 164 minutes using the same set up as described above for incubating mound-samples 165 containing termites but using 1L glass jars. Seasonal difference in fluxes from mound material would explain the role of CH₄ oxidation and microbial respiration in causing 166 167 seasonal variations in mound fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂. These fluxes from mound material 168 were subtracted from the gross fluxes of mound sub-samples, measured before removing 169 the termites, for calculating the net CH₄ and CO₂ from termites only.

170

171 2.4 Gas diffusivity measurements of mounds

Seasonal difference in gas diffusivity of mound wall was measured indirectly by using 172 173 the ratio of internal mound CH₄ concentration and mound CH₄ flux. In this experiment, 11 mounds of *M. nervosus* were repeat-measured for mound CH₄ flux and internal mound 174 175 CH₄ concentration in the wet and the dry seasons. Internal mound CH₄ concentration was 176 measured immediately after the mound flux measurements by collecting 20 ml gas 177 samples from mounds using a syringe and tube. These gas samples were immediately 178 transferred to pre-evacuated glass vials (Labco Exetainer) which were analyzed for CH₄ concentration (ppm) using an auto-injected gas chromatograph (GC, ShimadzuTM, 179 180 GC17a) at the Creswick laboratories of the University of Melbourne. Seasonal variation 181 in CH₄ flux to internal mound CH₄ concentration ratio, and the consistency of 182 relationship between mound CH₄ flux and internal mound CH₄ concentration across 183 seasons would help explain if there is a seasonal difference in mound wall diffusivity.

184

185 2.5 Effect of temperature and moisture on laboratory termite fluxes

The short term effect of temperature on termite fluxes was measured in the laboratory, using mound sub-samples (n = 5) of *M. nervosus* that contained termites collected from TERC. These were kept in 3 L glass jars and housed in a temperature controlled room at the Charles Darwin University, NT, Australia. Fluxes were measured at three temperatures, 25°C, 35°C and 15°C, after equilibrating for 6 hours at each temperature.

191 The effect of moisture on termite fluxes was investigated by measuring fluxes before and 192 after placing wet calico cloth pieces in the jars at a constant temperature of 25°C; using 193 the same set up as described for the temperature effect. Fluxes from the wet calico were 194 also measured and subtracted from the total fluxes.

195 2.6 Data analysis and presentation

207

196 SPSSTM 16.0 was used for the statistical analyses of data. Statistical significance was 197 defined at $p \le 0.05$, unless otherwise stated. Note that original data was used in all the 198 figures and transformed data was used for statistical tests where necessary as stated.

199 A simple linear regression (n = 30) was used for testing the relationship of mean mound 200 fluxes (CH₄ and CO₂), measured in field, with mean mound temperature and mean soil 201 water content.

A simple linear regression (n = 22) was used for testing the relationship between mound CH₄ flux (μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹) and internal mound CH₄ concentration (ppm). A paired Ttest (n = 11) was used for analyzing the significance of difference in the mound CH₄ flux to mound internal CH₄ concentration (ppm) ratio between the wet and the dry seasons.

- 206 For the fluxes measured from mound sub-samples in the laboratory, a simple linear
- 208 (CH₄ and CO₂) separately for the wet (n = 22) and the dry (n = 22) seasons. An

regression analysis was used for testing the relationship between termite biomass and flux

independent sample T-test (n = 22) was used for analyzing the significance of difference in flux per unit termite biomass between the wet and the dry seasons; data was transformed using ln(flux). An independent sample T-test (n = 22) was also used for testing the significance of difference in termite biomass per unit mound sub-sample mass between the wet and the dry seasons; data was transformed using the log_{10} (termite biomass).

For analyzing the effect of temperature on fluxes a Q_{10} temperature coefficient, which is a measure of the rate of change of a biological or chemical system (in this case CH₄ and CO₂ flux) as a consequence of increasing the temperature by 10°C; was calculated as follows:

219
$$Q_{10} = \left(\frac{F_2}{F_1}\right)^{\frac{10}{(T_2 - T_1)}}$$
(1)

where $F_{1,2}$ are fluxes at two different temperatures, and *T* is corresponding room temperature (°C). Q₁₀ was calculated for a temperature range of 15 to 25 °C and 25 to 35 °C.

Paired sample T-test was used for analyzing the effect of moisture on CH_4 and CO_2 fluxes from the mound sub-samples (n = 5); data was transformed using $log_{10}(flux)$.

225

226 3. Results

227 3. 1. Seasonal fluxes measured in field

228 CH₄

229 Mound CH₄ fluxes measured in field from *M. nervosus* were 3.5 fold greater in the wet

230 season compared to the dry season. Mean mound CH_4 flux was $1465 \pm 293 \ \mu g \ CH_4$ -C m⁻²

h⁻¹ in the wet season and 417 \pm 74 µg CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹ in the dry season (Fig. 1). There was a significant relationship (R² = 0.69, p \leq 0.05) between soil water content and mound CH₄ flux, but no significant relationship between mound temperature and mound CH₄ flux (Table 1).

$$235 CO_2$$

Mean mound CO₂ flux was $601 \pm 98 \text{ mg CO}_2\text{-C m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$ in the wet season and 173 ± 34 mg CO₂-C m⁻² h⁻¹ in the dry season, i.e. a 3.5 fold difference (Fig. 1). There was a significant relationship (R² = 0.69, p \leq 0.05) between soil water content and mound CO₂ flux, but no significant relationship between mound temperature and mound CO₂ flux (Table 1).

241 The 2009 dry season broke in the Darwin region with a 5.4 mm rainfall event in 242 September (Bureau of Meteorology, 2009). Mound fluxes were measured a few days 243 before and within a few hours after this rainfall event. There was a 10 to 50% increase in mound CH₄ flux and a 10 to 80% increase in mound CO₂ flux as a result of this rain (Fig. 244 245 2). A paired T-test showed a significant difference ($p \le 0.05$) in mound fluxes (CH₄ and 246 CO₂) measured before and after the rain event (Fig. 2); data was transformed using 247 log₁₀(flux). Mean mound temperature and mean gravimetric soil water content was 32.4 °C and 5.7% before this rain event and 33.4 °C and 10.0 % after the rain, respectively 248 249 (data not shown).

There was a significant relationship between mound CH_4 flux and internal mound CH_4 concentration ($R^2 = 0.85$; $p \le 0.01$) regardless of season (Fig. 3a). The difference in 'mound CH_4 flux to internal mound CH_4 concentration ratio' between the wet and the dry season was not significant (Fig. 3b).

256 3.2 Fluxes measured in laboratory from mound sub-samples

257 CH₄

258 There was a strong and significant positive linear relationship between termite biomass and CH₄ flux both in the wet ($R^2 = 0.81$, p < 0.001) and the dry ($R^2 = 0.86$, p < 0.001) 259 season (Fig. 4a). Mean CH₄ flux was $9.9 \pm 0.8 \ \mu g \ CH_4$ -C g termite⁻¹ d⁻¹ in the wet season 260 which was significantly greater ($p \le 0.01$) than the 8.1 \pm 0.6 µg CH₄-C g termite⁻¹ d⁻¹ in 261 262 the dry season (Table 2). Thus, mean CH₄ flux expressed per unit biomass was 1.2 fold 263 greater in the wet season than the dry season. CH₄ fluxes from the mound material after 264 the termites had been removed were negligible both in the wet and the dry season (data 265 not shown). We did not observe a linear change in CH₄ concentration in jars during incubation of mound material which indicates very low methanotrophic or methanogenic 266 activity in the mound material regardless of season. 267

268

269 CO₂

There was a significant positive linear relationship between termite biomass and CO₂ flux both in the wet ($R^2 = 0.85$, $p \le 0.001$) and the dry ($R^2 = 0.91$, $p \le 0.001$) season (Fig. 4b). Mean CO₂ flux was 3.7 ± 0.8 mg CO₂-C g-termite⁻¹ d⁻¹ in the wet season which was significantly greater ($p \le 0.01$) than the 2.7 ± 0.2 mg CO₂-C g-termite⁻¹ d⁻¹ in the dry season (Table 2), i.e. 1.4 fold greater on a per unit biomass basis. CO₂ fluxes from the mound material (microbial respiration), after removing the termites, were greater in the wet season as compared to the dry season (data not shown).

278 3.3 Seasonal variation in termite biomass in mounds

As determined from mound sub-samples, there was a significant relationship between 279 termite biomass and mound mass ($R^2 = 0.46$, $p \le 0.001$) in the wet season but not 280 significant in the dry season (Fig. 5). Termite biomass was 35.0 ± 3.8 g-termite kg-281 mound⁻¹ in the wet season and 3.6 ± 0.9 g-termite kg-mound⁻¹ in the dry season (Table 2). 282 283 Thus, mean termite biomass in mound sub-samples was 10 fold greater in the wet season 284 as compared to the dry season. In the wet season, smaller mound sub-samples were 285 collected than in the dry season because of the greater termite biomass density and 286 therefore the time required for separation and removal.

287

288 Soldiers comprised only 5 to 6% of the total termite biomass in a mound, with workers 289 and alates comprising the rest (Table 2). The proportional contribution of workers and 290 alates was not determined because of their similar physical appearance. Mean mass of an 291 individual worker was similar in the wet $(1.34 \pm 0.04 \text{ mg})$ and the dry $(1.41 \pm 0.07 \text{ mg})$ 292 seasons, as was the mean mass of an individual soldier in the wet $(1.87 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg})$ and 293 the dry (1.91 \pm 0.11 mg) seasons (Table 2). Mean mass of an alate could only be 294 measured in the dry season (2.8 \pm 0.05 mg) as winged alates leave the mounds early in 295 the wet season (Table 2). Thus mass per termite was in the order of alate > soldier >296 worker.

297

298 3.4 Effect of temperature and moisture on flux

299 For CH₄, the Q₁₀ was 4.6 between 15 and 25 °C and 1.2 between 25 and 35 °C (Fig. 6).

300 For CO₂, the Q_{10} was 5.4 between 15 and 25 °C and 1.4 between 25 and 35 °C (Fig. 6a).

301 The difference in CH_4 and CO_2 fluxes measured before and after adding moisture to the 302 jars was not significant (Fig. 6b), although there was an increase in termite activity and 303 gallery construction.

304

305 4. Discussion

306 4.1 Seasonal dynamics in termite mound biomass

307 This study demonstrates for the first time that seasonal variations in fluxes of CH_4 and CO2 from termite mounds in tropical savannas are mainly caused by the seasonal 308 309 variation in termite biomass in those mounds. We found a 10 fold increase in termite 310 biomass in mound sub-samples in the wet season as compared to the dry season (Table 311 2). We suggest that this was the main factor causing the seasonal variations in mound 312 fluxes measured in the field which were 3.5 fold in this study (Fig. 1) and 8-9 fold in 313 Jamali et al. (2010), as fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂ from mound sub-samples were a function 314 of termite biomass in those mound sub-samples (Fig. 4).

315 There are three probable explanations for the observed seasonal dynamics of *M. nervosus*316 termite biomass in these mounds:

317 i) Seasonal dynamics in termite mound population as part of the reproductive cycle

The literature on the life cycle of Australian termites is scarce. For *M. nervosus*, like most tropical species of family Termitidae, swarming usually occurs with the onset of rains between October and December (Hill, 1942); during which time winged alates establish new colonies (Nutting, 1969). Termite colony biomass can be reduced by up to 50% as a result of swarming (Wood and Sands, 1978), but this varies for different termite species (Lepage and Darlington, 2000; Nutting, 1969). Generally, swarming is immediately followed by egg production, which peaks during the wet season (Matsuura et al., 2007). Mature termite populations peak in the dry season, followed by swarming with the onset of rains (Noirot, 1969). However, this suggested lifecycle pattern does not concur with our observations that the greatest CH_4 and CO_2 fluxes and greatest termite biomass in *M*. *nervosus* mounds occur in the wet season (Jamali et al., 2010).

329

330 ii) Seasonal pattern in termite foraging activity

331 One possible explanation may be that there are a large number of termites foraging 332 outside the mound in the dry season compared to the wet season, which could explain 333 apparent lower termite numbers in the mound. This is supported by suggestion that 334 termite foraging activity is governed by the energy and protein needs of the colony 335 (Buxton, 1981) which, in tropical areas is greatest in the dry season during nymphal 336 (alate) maturation (Lepage and Darlington, 2000). For the Macrotermes species (Bodot, 337 1967; Lepage, 1982; Wood et al., 1977) and Trinervitermes geminatus (Ohiago, 1979) 338 studied in African savannas, the peak of foraging activity always occurred in the dry 339 season. This has been suggested as the main reason for smaller termite population in the 340 dry season in the mounds of Trinervitermes ebenerianus in the Nigerian savannas (Sands, 341 1965). In a humid tropical forest of Cameroon, Dibog et al. (1998) observed greater 342 termite abundance and species richness in the soil (not mounds) in the dry season as 343 compared to the wet season. Furthermore, the abundance and species richness of these 344 termites was significantly and negatively correlated to the amount of rainfall 48 hours preceding termite sampling. In our study, we observed an increase in CH₄ and CO₂ flux 345 346 from termite mounds following the 'break of rains' after the 2009 dry season (Fig. 3). 347 This response of mound flux to rainfall may be associated with termites being restricted 348 to the mounds due to the wet conditions outside mounds not being suitable for foraging

349 (Dawes-Gromadzki and Spain, 2003).

350 iii) Seasonal vertical movement of termites

351 Another theoretical explanation could be vertical movements of termites within the mound. Water requirements for termites are generally very high as most species are 352 353 poorly protected against dehydration (Collins, 1969). Termites usually maintain high 354 humidity levels within the mound (Noirot, 1970). However, in the dry season when the 355 desired humidity level cannot be sustained, the upper part of the mound is often left empty (Noirot, 1970). Thus, in the dry season the majority of some termite populations 356 resides in the lower and/or underground sections of the mound, where conditions are 357 358 more moist and humid (Noirot, 1970; Noirot and Darlington, 2000). If this was the case 359 for *M. nervosus* it could have resulted in the smaller termite biomass observed in our 360 mound sub-samples during the dry season compared to the wet season as we have only 361 sampled the aboveground portion of mounds. However, we know of no evidence that 362 there are significant diffusive barriers within the mound which could affect CH₄ and CO₂ 363 fluxes because of termite presence in a particular section of mound.

Further experimentation involving sampling from both aboveground and belowground mound portions will confirm whether the observed seasonal change in termite population is real or only apparent because of termite activity and termite movement within the mound and to subterranean chambers. The latter case will have significant implications on the estimates based on mound fluxes alone as termites will be emitting CH_4 and CO_2 elsewhere in the ecosystem.

370

4.2 Seasonality in fluxes per unit termite biomass

372 Seasonal variation in flux per unit termite biomass played only a small role in causing the seasonal variations in mound fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂. The magnitude of the seasonal 373 374 variation in flux per unit termite biomass was much smaller than the magnitude of 375 observed seasonal variation in mound fluxes measured in the field which was 3.5 fold 376 (CH₄ and CO₂) in this study and 8-9 fold (CH₄ only) in a recent study (Jamali et al., 377 2010). This seasonal variation in flux per unit termite biomass may be attributed to insect 378 adaptation to xeric conditions, as metabolism and respiration processes can be an 379 important source of water loss (Bartholomew et al., 1985; Edney, 1977; Lighton, 1990).

380

4.3 Seasonality in mound diffusivity and fluxes from mound material

Mound CH₄ fluxes measured in the field were strongly related to CH₄ concentration 382 383 inside mounds (Fig. 5a) regardless of season. The difference in the ratio of 'mound CH₄ flux to internal mound CH4 concentration' between wet and dry seasons was not 384 385 significant (Fig. 5b), thus ruling out the role of changing mound wall diffusivity as a 386 driving mechanism in the seasonal variations of mound fluxes. If at all, mound diffusivity 387 is likely to be reduced in the wet season as a result of surface moisture restricting pore 388 continuity in the outer mound wall and therefore cannot explain the greater mound fluxes 389 in the wet season.

390 CH₄ fluxes from the termite mound material were negligible both in the wet and the dry 391 season. We cannot rule out the possibility of CH₄ oxidation by mound material which can 392 be better quantified using long term incubations and isotopic techniques (Sugimoto et al., 393 1998). However, the absence of measurable CH₄ oxidation in mound material, also 394 reported elsewhere (Bignell et al., 1997), means that this process is unlikely to cause a 395 significant variation in seasonal mound CH₄ fluxes. CO₂ fluxes as a result of microbial 396 respiration from mound material partly contributed towards causing seasonal variations in

397 mound fluxes of CO_2 ; however, we did not directly quantify their exact contribution.

398

399 4.2 Effect of temperature and moisture on flux

400 There was a positive correlation between temperature and termite fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 . 401 However, temperature fluctuations in tropical savannas are mainly observed on a diurnal 402 basis (day/night) whereas the seasonal differences (wet/dry season) of mean temperatures 403 are rather small. Hence, temperature would not be a major driver for the observed 404 seasonal changes in CH_4 and CO_2 fluxes.

The short-term effect of moisture on termite fluxes was not significant despite greater termite activity after the addition of a source of moisture (Fig. 6b). This further supports the argument that seasonal variations in mound fluxes are principally driven by seasonal dynamics in termite population rather than the change in flux per unit termite biomass. It also suggests that an immediate response of mound fluxes to rainfall (Fig. 4) is because of termites being restricted to mounds and not because of any effect on their gut biology, metabolism or physiology.

412

413 5. Conclusions

Large seasonal variations in mound fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 are mainly caused by the seasonal dynamics in termite biomass in mounds. Termites emit slightly greater CH_4 and CO_2 per unit termite biomass in the wet season as compared to the dry season but this does not account for the large seasonal differences observed for mound fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 . Mound diffusivity and CH_4 uptake by methanotrophic bacteria in the mound 419 material play a negligible role and do not influence seasonal variations in mound fluxes. 420 These results emphasize that termite population dynamics are the main driver for the 421 observed seasonal differences in CH_4 and CO_2 fluxes from termite mounds. Although our 422 results could not confirm which processes determined termite population size inside the 423 mound they highlight the need to integrate future studies of termite fluxes with detailed 424 studies of termite population dynamics.

425

426 Acknowledgments

427 This research was supported by the Australian Research Council, Linkage Grant

428 LP0774812. Jamali was supported by an AusAID postgraduate scholarship. We are

429 thankful to Gus Wanganeen from CSIRO Ecosystem Sciences, Darwin for identifying the

430 termite species. We are also thankful to Claire Petit and other students at CSIRO

- 431 Ecosystem Sciences, Darwin for their help in termite sorting.
- 432

433 References

- Bartholomew, G.A., Lighton, J.R.B. and Louw, G.N., 1985. Energetics of locomotion
 and patterns of respiration in tenebrionid beetles from the Namib desert. Journal
 of Comparative Physiology B: Biochemical, Systemic, and Environmental
 Physiology 155(2): 155-162.
- Bignell, D.E., Eggleton, P., Nunes, L. and Thomas, K.L., 1997. Termites as mediators of
 forest carbon fluxes in tropical forests: budgets for carbon dioxide and methane
 emissions. In: A.D. Watt, N.E. Stork and M.D. Hunter (Editors), Forests and
 Insects. Chapman and Hall, London, pp. 109-134.
- Bodot, P., 1967. Cycles saisonniers d'activite' collective des termites des savanes de Basse Cote d'Ivoire. Insectes Sociaux, 16: 39-54.
- Brümmer, C., Papen, H., Wassmann, R. and Bruggemann, 2009. Fluxes of CH₄ and CO₂
 from soil and termite mounds in south Sudanian savanna of Burkina Faso (West
 Africa). Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 23.
- 447 Bureau of Meteorology, 2009. Government of Australia, <u>www.bom.gov.au</u>.
- Buxton, R.D., 1981. Changes in the composition and activities of termite communities in
 relation to changing rainfall. Oecologia, 51: 37I 378.

- Collins, M.S., 1969. Water relations in termites. In: K. Krishna and F.M. Weesner
 (Editors), Biology of termites. Academic Press, New York and London, pp. 433452 458.
- Dawes-Gromadzki, T. and Spain, A., 2003. Seasonal patterns in the activity and richness
 of surface-foraging termites (Isoptera) at paper baits in a tropical Australian
 savanna. Journal of Tropical Ecology 19: 449-456.
- 456 Dibog, L., Eggleton, P. and Forzi, F., 1998. Seasonality of soil termites in a humid
 457 tropical forest, Mbalmayo, southern Cameroon. Journal of Tropical Ecology, 14:
 458 841-849.
- 459 Edney, E.B., 1977. Water Balance in Land Arthropods. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
- Hendriks, D.M.D., Dolman, A.J., van der Molen, M.K. and van Huissteden, J., 2008. A
 compact and stable eddy covariance set-up for methane measurements using offaxis integrated cavity output spectroscopy. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics,
 8: 431–443.
- 464 Hill, G.F., 1942. Termites (Isoptera) from the Australian Region. C. S. I. R, Melbourne.
- Holt, J.A., 1987. Carbon mineralization in semi-arid northeastern Australia: the role of
 termites Journal of Tropical Ecology, 3: 255-263.
- Jamali, H., Livesley, S.J., Dawes, T.Z., Cook, G.D., Hutley, L.B. and Arndt, S.K., 2010.
 Diurnal and seasonal variations in CH₄ flux from termite mounds in tropical savannas of the Northern Territory, Australia. Agricultural and Forest Meteorology, In Press.
- Khalil, M.A.K., Rasmussen, R.A., French, J.R.J. and Holt, J.A., 1990. The Influence of
 Termites on Atmospheric Trace Gases CH₄, CO₂, CHCL₃, N₂O, CO, H₂, and
 Light Hydrocarocarbons. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres,
 95(D4): 3619-3634.
- 475 Lepage, M., 1982. Foraging of *Macrotermes* spp. (Isoptera: Macrotermitinae) in the
 476 tropics. In: P. Jaisson (Editor), Social Insects. Universite' de Paris-Norad, Paris,
 477 pp. 206-218.
- 478 Lepage, M. and Darlington, J.P.E.C., 2000. Population dynamics of termites. In: T. Abe,
 479 D.E. Bignell and M. Higashi (Editors), Termites: Evolution, Sociality, Symbiosis,
 480 Ecology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston, London, pp. 333-361.
- 481 Lighton, J.R.B., 1990. Slow discontinuous ventilation in the namib dube-sea ant
 482 Camponotus-detritus (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) Journal of Experimental
 483 Biology, 151: 71-82.
- Matsuura, K., Kobayashi, N. and Yashiro, T., 2007. Seasonal patterns of egg production
 in field colonies of the termite Reticulitermes speratus (Isoptera :
 Rhinotermitidae). Population Ecology, 49(2): 179-183.
- 487 Noirot, C., 1969. Formation of castes in the higher termites. In: K. Krishna and F.M.
 488 Weesner (Editors), Biology of termites. Academic Press, New York and London,
 489 pp. 311-350.
- 490 Noirot, C., 1970. The Nests of Termites. In: K. Krishna and F.M. Weesner (Editors),
 491 Biology of Termites. Academic Press, New York and London, pp. 73-125.
- 492 Noirot, C. and Darlington, J.P.E.C., 2000. Termite Nests: Architecture, Regulation and
 493 Defence. In: T. Abe, D.E. Bignell and M. Higashi (Editors), Termites: Evolution,
 494 Sociality, Symbiosis, Ecology. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston,
 495 London, pp. 121-139.

- 496 Nutting, W.L., 1969. Flight and colony foundation. In: K. Krishna and F.M. Weesner
 497 (Editors), Biology of termites. Academic Press, New York and London, pp. 233498 282.
- Ohiago, C.E., 1979. A Quantitative Study of Seasonal Foraging by the Grass Harvesting
 Termite, *Trinervitermes geminatus* (Wasmann), (Isoptera, Nasutitermitinae) in
 Southern Guinea Savanna, Mokwa, Nigeria. Oecologia, 40: 179-188.
- Sands, W.A., 1965. Mound population movements and fluctuations in *Trinervitermes ebenerianus* Sjöst. (Isoptera, Termitidae, Nasutitermitinae). Insectes Sociaux, 12:
 49-58.
- Shelton, T.G. and Appel, A.G., 2000. Cyclic carbon dioxide release in the dampwood
 termite, Zootermopsis nevadensis (Hagen). Comparative Biochemistry and
 Physiology a-Molecular and Integrative Physiology, 126(4): 539-545.
- Sugimoto, A., Inoue, T., Kirtibutr, N. and Abe, T., 1998. Methane oxidation by termite
 mounds estimated by the carbon isotopic composition of methane. Global
 Biogeochemical Cycles, 12(4): 595-605.
- Watson, J.A.L. and Abbey, H.M., 1993. Atlas of Australian Termites. CSIRO Australia,
 155 pp.
- Wood, T.G., Johnson, R.A., Ohiago, C.E., Collins, N.M. and Longhurst, C., 1977.
 Ecology and Importance of Termites in Crops and Pastures in Northern Nigeria, Centre for Overseas Pest Research, London.
- Wood, T.G. and Sands, W.A., 1978. The role of termites in ecosystems. In: M.V. Brian
 (Editor), *Production ecology of Ants and Termites*. Cambridge University Press.
- 518 Zimmerman, P.R. and Greenberg, J.P., 1983. Termites and Methane. Nature, 302: 354-519 355.
- 520
- 521
- 522
- 523
- 524
- 525
- 526
- 527
- 528
- 529
- 530
- - -
- 531

532 Tables

533 Table 1: Relationship of mound fluxes measured in the field with mound temperature and

Variable	СН			$\overline{CO_2}$	
, un	R^2	р	R^2	p	
Mound temperature	0.07	n.s	0.10	n.s	
Soil water content	0.69	\leq 0.05	0.69	\leq 0.05	

534 soil water content as determined by a simple linear relationship

5	2	5
J	J	J

537 Table 2: Seasonal dynamics in flux (per unit termite biomass) and termite biomass in

538	mound sub-sam	oles of M.	nervosus as	s measured in	the laboratory
-----	---------------	------------	-------------	---------------	----------------

	Wet season	Dry season	Difference (p)
Flux			
CH ₄ (µg CH ₄ -C g-termite ⁻¹ d ⁻¹)	9.9 ± 0.8	8.1 ± 0.6	≤0.01
$CO_2 (mg CO_2-C g-termite^{-1} d^{-1})$	3.7 ± 0.8	2.7 ± 0.2	≤0.01
Biomass			
Mean biomass (g-termite kg-mound ⁻¹)	35.0 ± 3.8	3.6 ± 0.9	≤0.01
Mean mass of a worker (mg)	1.34 ± 0.04	1.41 ± 0.07	
Mean mass of a soldier (mg)	1.87 ± 0.02	1.91 ± 0.11	
Mean mass of an alate (mg)	-	2.8 ± 0.05	
Soldiers (% total biomass)	6	5	
Non-soldiers (% total biomass)	94	95	



Figure 1: a) Seasonal CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes from five termite mounds of *Microcerotermes nervosus* measured at TERC site and monthly rainfall from the meteorological station at
Darwin Airport, and b) seasonal mound temperature and gravimetric soil water content
(%)



Figure 2: Mean mound fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 (n = 5) measured from mounds of *M*. *nervosus* before and after the 'break of rains' (5.4 mm) in late dry season 2009 measured at TERC; error bars are standard error of the mean; case-wise letters show the significance of difference ($p \le 0.05$)



562

Figure 3: (a) Simple linear regression between mound CH₄ flux and internal mound CH₄ concentration (ppm); (b) seasonal variation in 'mound CH₄ flux (μ g CH₄-C m⁻² h⁻¹) to internal mound CH₄ concentration (ppm) ratio' repeat-measured in the wet and the dry seasons; letters on top of bars show the significance (p \leq 0.05) of difference between the wet and the dry seasons





Figure 4: Simple linear regression analyses of: a) fresh termite biomass of M. nervosus and CH₄ flux, and b) fresh termite biomass of *M. nervosus* and CO₂ flux in the wet and the dry seasons of 2009 as measured from fresh mound sub-samples in the laboratory.



Figure 5: Simple linear regression analysis of mound mass (sub-samples) and termite biomass in the: a) wet season, and b) dry season of 2009, for *M. nervosus*.



585

Figure 6: (a) Mean CH₄ and CO₂ fluxes measured from mound sub-samples (n = 5) containing termites incubated at 15 °C, 25 °C and 35 °C; error bars are standard errors of the mean; case-wise letters on top of the bars show the significance of differences in fluxes measured at three different temperatures; for CH₄, Q₁₀ values were 4.6 and 1.2 between 15 and 25 °C and between 25 and 35 °C, respectively; for CO₂, Q₁₀ values were 5.4 and 1.4 between 15 and 25 °C and between 25 and 35 °C, respectively.

592 (b) Mean fluxes of CH_4 and CO_2 measured at 25°C from five mound sub-samples 593 containing termites; before and after adding moist calico material pieces; case-wise letters 594 on top of the bars show the significance of variations.

595			
596 597			
598			
599			
600			
601			