

1 **A taxonomic framework for interpreting evolution within the *Amytornis***
2 ***textilis-modestus* complex of grasswrens**

3

4 A taxonomy of *A. textilis-modestus* grasswrens

5

6 *Andrew Black, Leo Joseph, Lynn Pedler and Graham Carpenter*

7

8 Ornithology Section, South Australian Museum, North Terrace, Adelaide, SA 5000, Australia.

9 Australian National Wildlife Collection, CSIRO Sustainable Ecosystems, GPO Box 284,
10 Canberra ACT 2601, Australia.

11 PO Box 58, Koolunga, SA 5464, Australia.

12 24 Dryden Road, Black Forest, SA 5035, Australia.

13

14 Email: abblack@bigpond.com

15

16 **Abstract.** Recent evidence points to the *Amytornis textilis-modestus* complex of grasswrens
17 being not one but two species; *Amytornis textilis* Western Grasswren (including *A. t. myall*) and
18 *Amytornis modestus* Thick-billed Grasswren. We present morphological support for this change
19 and evidence of diversity within both *A. t. textilis* and *A. modestus*. In the latter we reinstate
20 currently unrecognised subspecies, *A. m. modestus* from the valleys of the MacDonnell Ranges,
21 presumed extinct and *A. m. indulkanna*, ranging northwest of Lake Eyre and Lake Torrens. Other
22 discrete populations are described at the periphery of the northern Flinders Ranges and in the
23 south-western Lake Frome Basin. The former resembles *A. m. indulkanna* but has sexually
24 dimorphic tails. The latter has longer sexually dimorphic tails but is more strongly marked,
25 darker and less consistently "thick-billed". Populations northeast of Lake Eyre and in New South
26 Wales are incompletely evaluated. Molecular genetic studies are planned to clarify relationships
27 between all extant and extinct populations of the complex.

28

29 **Introduction**

30 Recent checklists recognise the Thick-billed Grasswren *Amytornis textilis* as one of 10
31 species of grasswrens (Maluridae: *Amytornis*) (Schodde and Mason 1999, Christidis and Boles
32 2008) with three subspecies *A. t. textilis*, *A. t. myall* and *A. t. modestus*. Phylogenetic analysis
33 (Christidis *et al.* 2010) advocates increasing this by separation of *A. modestus* from *A. textilis* (see
34 also Black 2004).

35 Here we present further evidence of species-level recognition of *A. textilis* and *A.*
36 *modestus*. We also address geographical distribution, patterns of phenotypic diversity, and
37 taxonomic status of populations within these two species. Our focus is on populations currently
38 known as *A. t. myall* in north-eastern Eyre Peninsula as well as those currently considered as the
39 monotypic species *A. modestus* across its entire range in central and inland south-eastern
40 Australia.

41 Our study follows recent distributional surveys of *myall* and *modestus* (Black *et al.* 2009
42 and unpublished) and is based on a review of all specimens of the complex in Australian
43 museums, including recently collected material (Fig. 1). It first assesses distribution, plumage
44 and morphometry in *textilis*, *myall* and *modestus* and whether separate species status is warranted
45 for *modestus* and then examines differentiation among populations within *modestus* and *textilis*.

46

47 (INSERT Fig. 1.)

48

49 **Materials and methods**

50 AB examined all non-juvenile *textilis*, *myall* and *modestus* specimens in the South
51 Australian Museum, Adelaide (SAMA), Western Australian Museum, Perth (WAM), Australian
52 National Wildlife Collection, CSIRO, Canberra (ANWC), Australian Museum, Sydney (AM) and
53 Museum Victoria, Melbourne (MV) as follows: *textilis* (29), *myall* (17) and *modestus* (54).
54 Plumage traits were compared directly and contemporaneously among all ten central Australian
55 *modestus* skins in the H. L. White Collection (MV), the only specimens from New South Wales
56 (NSW) (AM O. 10581) and from northeast of Lake Eyre (MV 8315) and the 14 *myall* and 31
57 *modestus* skins in SAMA. Digital colour images of the other seven *modestus* specimens from
58 New South Wales in the Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia (ANSP) and the American
59 Museum of Natural History (AMNH) were also examined. Specimens of *textilis* were examined
60 separately. From Shark Bay, the only extant population, there are 11 skins, from Dirk Hartog

61 Island (DHI) six, northern inland nine, south-west one only and south-east (Kalgoorlie) two (Fig.
62 1).

63 AB measured the following traits on all specimens to the nearest 0.1 mm, avoiding all
64 damaged or heavily worn parts: tail length, from the emergence of the central rectrix to the tip of
65 the central rectrix; wing length, maximum (flattened) chord, using a butted ruler (nearest 1.0
66 mm), from the carpal joint to the tip of the longest primary; tarsus, from the mid-point on the
67 hind side of the tibio-tarsal joint to the joint between tarsus and middle toe in front; bill length,
68 from the junction of the culmen and skull to the bill tip; bill depth, measured as the shortest
69 distance from the junction with frontal feathering to the lower ramus. Following Black (2004),
70 lower mandible profile (a concavity near its base and varying convexity in mid portion and near
71 the tip) was scored on all SAMA material as showing either obvious overall convexity, slight or
72 subtle convexity, or virtual straightness. For the assessment of plumage, specimens were ranked
73 by depth of dorsal tone and intensity of ventral streaking. Three independent observers assessed
74 the rankings of bill shape and plumage and produced consistent findings. AB made an additional
75 plumage comparison of *myall*, inland SA *modestus* and Lake Frome Basin *modestus* skins in
76 SAMA, using the Naturalist's Color Guide (Smithe 1975) (electronic Appendix).

77

78 *Statistical Analysis*

79

80 Non-parametric analyses were used initially because normal distribution was not assumed for any
81 morphometric trait and because sample sizes were small in some subgroups. Therefore the
82 median of each sample, 95% confidence intervals and Mann-Whitney (Wilcoxon) test were
83 applied to allow inter-group comparisons between the *textilis* and *myall* populations and between
84 the *myall* and *modestus* populations. Black *et al.* (unpublished) found the distribution of
85 *modestus* to be discontinuous on the eastern flank of the Flinders Ranges. J. Norman (pers.
86 comm.) found and J. Austin (pers. comm.) confirmed that *modestus* is genetically divided into
87 two phylogroups on either side of Lake Eyre and Lake Torrens. Higgins *et al.* (2001) showed
88 differences in tail length, between central Australian and southern populations of *modestus*. Thus
89 four separate and potentially distinct *modestus* subpopulations were scored, *viz.*: central Australia
90 (Central): north-western SA (North-western): North Flinders Ranges periphery (Flinders): and
91 south-western Lake Frome Basin (Frome) (Fig. 1). The Kruskal-Wallis test provided an analysis

92 of variance and was employed initially to test the above predicted non-homogeneity of the total
93 *modestus* sample. Comparisons were then made within *modestus* between the two
94 subpopulations in each phylogroup, namely between Central and North-western, and between
95 Flinders and Frome. Because of phenotypic variation among *textilis* the Kruskal-Wallis test was
96 also applied to its three best represented samples from Shark Bay, DHI and northern inland. The
97 raw data were subsequently log-transformed, allowing testing for normal distribution which was
98 confirmed in the larger samples. Parametric statistics were then applied to provide support for
99 the non-parametric findings and to perform factor analysis and discriminant function analysis.

100

101 **Results**

102

103 *Distribution*

104 Four populations of *modestus* are identified as Central (now extinct), North-western, Flinders and
105 Frome (Fig. 1).

106 *Morphometrics*

107 Measurements of all specimens are in electronic accessory material. Sexual dimorphism in tail
108 length was found in *textilis* ($p < 0.01$), *myall* ($p \approx 0.01$) and Flinders ($p < 0.01$); sample size
109 precluded its testing in Frome where a strong trend suggested it nonetheless. North-western and
110 Central showed no tail length dimorphism. Male tarsi were minimally longer than females in
111 Flinders ($p < 0.01$) as were bill length ($p \approx 0.05$), and depth ($p \approx 0.04$) but not bill proportion.
112 Similar but non-significant trends in bill size dimorphism were seen in other *modestus*
113 populations but not in *textilis* or *myall*.

114 Statistical comparison between populations was restricted to males because of generally small
115 female sample sizes. Tail length (Table 1) was significantly longer in *textilis* than *myall* ($p \approx$
116 0.01) and in *myall* than *modestus* ($p < 0.01$). Tail lengths of the four *modestus* samples were
117 statistically different (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p < 0.001$), being longest in Central and shortest in
118 North-western ($p < 0.01$) without overlap and longer in Frome than Flinders ($p \approx 0.025$) (Table
119 1). In other comparisons between *textilis* and *myall* (Table 2) wing and tarsal lengths were
120 marginally greater in the former ($p \approx 0.05$); there were no differences in these parameters
121 between *myall* and *modestus* or within *modestus*. Bills were longer and deeper in *myall* than in
122 *textilis* ($p < 0.01$) with no difference in bill ratio (Table 2) while bills were longer and shallower in

123 *myall* than *modestus* and the length/depth ratio was greater as a consequence ($p \approx 0.05$). The
124 more slender bill-profile of *myall* corresponded with an absence of or only weak convexity of the
125 lower mandible in ten of eleven specimens. In North-western and Flinders *modestus* the lower
126 mandible was consistently and strongly convex in 14 of 19 specimens but in Frome these features
127 varied. Within *textilis* the Kruskal-Wallis test revealed non-homogeneities in tarsus and bill
128 length ($p < 0.05$) and bill length/depth ratio ($p < 0.02$) with lower values for the northern inland
129 population.

130

131 (INSERT Tables 1 & 2)

132

133 Parametric studies of *modestus* confirmed in two-tailed t tests that Central male tails were longer
134 than North-western ($p < 0.0001$) and Flinders ($p < 0.001$), whereas Frome were longer than
135 Flinders ($p \approx 0.05$) and North-western ($p < 0.01$). Comparing *myall* with the three adequately
136 sampled *textilis* sub-populations two-tailed t tests showed that tails were shorter in *myall* than in
137 northern inland ($p < 0.04$) and DHI ($p < 0.03$). Bills of *myall* were longer than in Shark Bay (p
138 < 0.001), DHI ($p \approx 0.02$) and northern inland ($p < 0.0001$) and deeper than Shark Bay ($p < 0.01$)
139 and DHI ($p < 0.003$) but not northern inland. Bill length/depth ratio was thus smaller in northern
140 inland than *myall* ($p < 0.001$), DHI ($p \approx 0.005$) and Shark Bay ($p < 0.005$). Factor analysis
141 showed complete separation of *textilis-myall* from *modestus* populations. Further, there was
142 separation of Central from North-western *modestus* and of *myall* from three northern *textilis*
143 subpopulations (Fig. 2), principally due to measurements of tail and bill. Discriminant function
144 analysis allowed correct assignment of all *modestus* and all *textilis-myall* to respective species.
145 Within these two entities assignment, though imperfect, was accurate within *modestus* in five of
146 six Central, six of eight North-western all eleven Flinders and one of three Frome; and within
147 *textilis-myall* in all 13 *myall*, all five Shark Bay, two of three DHI and three of four northern
148 inland.

149

150 (INSERT Fig. 2)

151

152 *Plumage*

153 All *textilis* and *myall* are darker above and more intensely streaked below than any *modestus*.
154 North-western and Flinders *modestus* are much paler and only subtly streaked and Frome is
155 intermediate. Central Australian specimens are darker dorsally, most falling within the Frome
156 range, and show a rufous tan coloration to the wing and tail feathers that is barely recognised in
157 other *modestus*; ventrally they are less streaked than most *modestus* but are darker on the flanks
158 and bellies. The single specimen from northeast of Lake Eyre (MV R. 8315) is the palest of all.
159 Streaking and tone in NSW skins from AM, AMNH and ANSP are variable. Seasonality,
160 maturity (all specimens exhibiting adult basic plumage) and the age of specimens did not affect
161 the findings. Plumages of *textilis* specimens were analysed separately from *myall* and *modestus*.
162 DHI birds are, with one exception, darker than Shark Bay and some northern inland birds are
163 paler. Those from the south-west and south-east (Kalgoorlie) are darker than northern
164 representatives and resemble *myall* in this respect.

165

166

167 **Discussion**

168 Discriminant function analysis of morphometrics and plumage characters of tone and ventral
169 streaking independently allow confident classification of each specimen examined either as
170 *modestus* or *textilis-myall*. We affirm the distinction between *textilis* and *myall* and find
171 differentiation among other populations within *textilis*. The bills of *myall* are relatively long and
172 slender, in contrast with the "thick bills" of adjacent North-western *modestus*. The most abrupt
173 changes in tone, ventral streaking and tail length are seen at this same boundary.

174 We also reveal significant, geographically structured phenotypic diversity among at least four
175 distinct allopatric subpopulations of *modestus*. Tail length separates them. Tails in Central and
176 North-western show no sexual dimorphism and are longer in the former. Tails in Flinders and
177 Frome are sexually dimorphic and in males the latter are longer. Central *modestus* is more rufous
178 and a little darker than all but Frome but is barely streaked ventrally. Some phenotypic diversity
179 is also seen within *textilis*. Southern and Dirk Hartog Island birds are relatively darker than other
180 *textilis* and northern inland birds are paler and have relatively "thicker" bills.

181 No subspecies are currently recognised within *modestus* (Schodde and Mason 1999) but several
182 names have been applied previously, namely:

183

- 184 1. *modestus* (North, 1902), based on specimens taken west of Alice Springs.
185 2. *indulkanna* (Mathews, 1916), based on specimens taken near Indulkana Springs in north-
186 western South Australia.
187 3. *inexpectatus* (Mathews, 1912), based on darker specimens from northern and central New
188 South Wales (Parker 1972, MacAllan 1987, 2000).
189 4. *obscurior* (Mathews, 1923), based on pale and relatively unstreaked specimens from north-
190 western New South Wales (McAllan 2000).

191 We reject treating *modestus* and *indulkanna* as synonyms because we have shown that the
192 populations of *modestus* here termed North-western are paler and have much shorter tails. We
193 recognise these as *A. m. indulkanna*. We apply *A. m. modestus* to the extinct central Australian
194 population (Fig. 1) that occupied riverine sandhill canegrass shrubland, a habitat unique within
195 the species (Black unpublished). We do this under the application of trinomials to diagnosable
196 allopatric populations within species (Patten and Unitt 2002, Rising 2007, De Queiroz 2007,
197 Winker and Haig 2010). The relationship between the Flinders, Frome and other less studied
198 populations and the previously described subspecies (3 and 4 above) is not resolved here.

199

200 *Taxonomic Summary*

201

202 *Species:*

203 *Amytornis textilis* Western Grasswren: body generally dark brown, slightly paler below, with
204 white shaft-streaks providing a strongly streaked appearance above and below; tail long to very
205 long and longer in males; bill rather slender, generally lacking a strong convexity to the lower
206 ramus.

207

208 *Amytornis modestus* Thick-billed Grasswren: body generally mid to pale brown (dark to light
209 drab) above, much paler (light drab to drab grey) below, with white shaft-streaks providing a
210 moderately streaked appearance above, moderately to barely streaked below; tail varying from
211 moderately long to short, longer or of the same length in males; bill deep, the lower ramus
212 generally showing a moderate or strong convexity.

213

214 *Subspecies:*

215

216 *Amytornis textilis textilis* (Dumont, 1824). Shark Bay region, formerly more widespread in
217 Western Australia, description as for species above. Other presumed extinct populations
218 currently considered under this taxon show variation in plumage and morphology as shown above
219 and might represent one or more additional subspecies.

220

221 *Amytornis textilis myall* (Mathews, 1916). North-eastern Eyre Peninsula, SA. Distinguished
222 from *A. t. textilis* by its shorter tail (Schodde 1982, Schodde and Mason 1999, Higgins *et al.*
223 2001, this study) and genetic divergence (Christidis *et al.* 2008) and from *A. modestus* by its
224 longer tail in males (median 86.5, range 81.0-94.0 mm), darker plumage, heavier ventral
225 streaking, more slender bill and allozyme differentiation (Christidis 1999).

226

227 *Amytornis modestus modestus* (North, 1902). Valleys of the MacDonnell Ranges, presumed
228 extinct, distinguished from all other *modestus* populations in habitat choice, rufous tan toning in
229 wing and tail feathers and darker but barely streaked underparts, from all but *A. m. indulkanna* by
230 sexually monomorphic tail, and from *indulkanna* by its much longer tail (males, median 80.8,
231 range 76.1-83.6 mm, females, median 80.9, range 74.1-83.0 mm).

232

233 *Amytornis modestus indulkanna* (Mathews, 1916). West of Lake Eyre and Lake Torrens,
234 distinguished from the nominate by its shorter tail (males, median 68.8, range 65.1-73.8 mm,
235 females, median 66.8, range 64.6-73.5 mm) and from other populations by sexually
236 monomorphic tail.

237

238 *Amytornis modestus* subspecies. Flinders, Frome and populations north-east of Lake Eyre and in
239 New South Wales, phenotypically diverse as shown above but incompletely evaluated.

240

241

242 A larger and more representative museum skin collection has allowed us to resolve
243 several uncertainties surrounding the phenotypic diversity, taxonomy and nomenclature of the *A.*
244 *textilis-modestus* group of grasswrens (Parker 1972; Schodde 1982, Schodde and Mason 1999).

245 We affirm that there are two species and show statistically that each is polytypic, despite small
246 sub-sample size in some cases.

247 The four local populations of *A. modestus* defined here occupy a number of reasonably
248 discrete drainage systems. *A. m. modestus* occurred in river valleys of the central Australian
249 mountain ranges while *A. m. indulkanna* covers rivers draining into Lake Eyre from the west,
250 reaching the Stuart Shelf in the west and crossing into the western Lake Torrens Basin in the
251 south. The Flinders population is defined by the many watercourses draining the North Flinders
252 Ranges towards southern Lake Eyre, Lake Blanche and Lake Callabonna and the Frome
253 population by those of the south-western Lake Frome Basin. Further, *A. t. myall* generally
254 occupies the two largest drainages of north-eastern Eyre Peninsula, Myall Creek and Pine Creek.

255 Understanding the causes of the diversity we have shown in both species and more that is
256 incompletely evaluated is a challenge for future work. Christidis *et al.* (2010) showed that
257 plumage differentiation in *Amytornis* is taxonomically significant and not as much influenced by
258 ecophenotypic variation as previously thought; the near total loss of these grasswrens from
259 Western Australia, New South Wales and the Northern Territory is not only contraction of range
260 but extinction of local populations, some at least with taxonomic status. Conservation action is
261 ineffective unless diversity is recognised, preferably taxonomically; some taxa within the *A.*
262 *textilis-modestus* complex may be extinct before their true status is known.

263

264 **Acknowledgements**

265 We thank Barbara Godfrey and Terry Reardon (SAMA) for statistical advice and analysis,
266 the late John Eckert for specimen collection and Philippa Horton (SAMA) for tireless assistance
267 with museum data. We thank Wayne Longmore (MV), Walter Boles (AM), Ian Mason
268 (ANWC), Ron Johnstone (WAM), Bob McGowan (Royal Scottish Museums), Nate Rice
269 (ANSP), Paul Sweet (AMNH), Margaret Hart (AMNH), Mary LeCroy (AMNH), Debbie
270 Churches (SAMA) and Brian Blaylock (SAMA) for assistance with museum material and
271 technical help, Margaret Cawsey for preparing the map, Janette Norman (MV) and Jeremy Austin
272 (University of Adelaide) for sharing unpublished information and Les Christidis (AM) for
273 encouragement throughout. Highly constructive suggestions by reviewers and editors for change
274 to earlier drafts are also gratefully acknowledged.

275

276

277 **REFERENCES**

278

279 Black, A .B. (2004). The “Immarna” grasswrens of R. C. Chandler: locality, habitat, identity and
280 taxonomic implications. *South Australian Ornithologist*, **34**, 199-211.

281

282 Black, A., Carpenter, G. and Pedler, L. (2009). Distribution and habitats of the Thick-billed
283 Grasswren *Amytornis textilis* subspecies *myall*. *South Australian Ornithologist*, **35**, 161-177.

284

285 Christidis, L. (1999) Evolution and biogeography of the Australian grasswrens, *Amytornis* (Aves:
286 Maluridae): biochemical perspectives. *Australian Journal of Zoology*, **47**, 113-124.

287

288 Christidis, L., Horton, P. and Norman, J. A. (2008). Subspeciation in the Short-tailed Grasswren
289 (*Amytornis merrotsyi*, Maluridae). *Emu*, **108**, 275-282.

290

291 Christidis, L, Rheindt, F. E., Boles, W. E. and Norman, J. A. (2010). Plumage patterns are good
292 indicators of taxonomic diversity but not of phylogenetic affinities in Australian grasswrens
293 *Amytornis* (Aves: Maluridae). *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, doi:
294 10.1016/j.ympev.2010.08.029

295

296 De Queiroz, K. (2007). Species Concepts and Species Delimitation. *Systematic Biology* **56**, 879-
297 886.

298

299 Higgins, P. J., Peter, J. M. and Steele, W. K. (eds) (2001). ‘Handbook of Australian, New
300 Zealand and Antarctic birds. Volume 5, tyrant-flycatchers to chats’. (Oxford University Press:
301 Melbourne.)

302

303 McAllan, I. A. W. (1987). Early records of the Thick-billed Grasswren *Amytornis textilis* and
304 Striated Grasswren *Amytornis striatus* in New South Wales. *Australian Birds* **28**, 65-70.

305

306 McAllan, I.A.W. (2000). On some New South Wales records of the Grey Grasswren and Thick-
307 billed Grasswren. *Australian Birdwatcher*, **18**, 244-246.
308
309 Parker, S. A. (1972). Remarks on the distribution and taxonomy of the grass wrens *Amytornis*
310 *textilis*, *modestus* and *burnelli*. *Emu*, **72**, 157-166.
311
312 Patten, M. A. and Unitt, P. (2002). Diagnosability versus mean differences of Sage Sparrow
313 subspecies. *The Auk* **119**, 26-35.
314
315 Rising, J. D. (2007). Named subspecies and their significance in contemporary Ornithology.
316 *Ornithological Monographs* **63**, 45-54.
317
318 Schodde, R. (1982). 'The Fairy-wrens'. (Lansdowne: Melbourne.)
319
320 Schodde, R. and Mason, I. J. (1999). 'The directory of Australian birds. Passerines'. (CSIRO:
321 Collingwood.)
322
323 Smithe, F.B. (1975). 'Naturalist's Color Guide'. (The American Museum of Natural History.
324 New York.)
325
326 Winker, K. and Haig, S. (2010). Avian Subspecies. *Ornithological Monographs* Number 67.
327 Supplement to *The Auk* **127**, part 2.
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336

337 **Table 1. Comparison of male tail lengths between populations**

338

| Population | N | Range | Median | 95% CI | Significance |
|-----------------|----|------------|--------|-----------|--------------|
| <i>textilis</i> | 17 | 82.4-101.4 | 91.9 | 87.2-93.8 | *1 |
| <i>myall</i> | 12 | 81.0-94.1 | 86.5 | 84.1-88.1 | *1, 2 |
| <i>modestus</i> | | | | | *2 |
| Central | 6 | 76.1-83.6 | 80.75 | 76.1-83.6 | *3 |
| North-west | 8 | 65.1-73.8 | 68.8 | 65.1-73.8 | *3 |
| Flinders | 11 | 69.3-77.8 | 74.6 | 70.9-77.4 | *4 |
| Frome | 3 | 77.6-78.8 | 78.0 | | *4 |

339 *1 *textilis* > *myall* $p \approx 0.01$

340 *2 *myall* > Central $p < 0.01$; *myall* > all *modestus* $p < 0.01$

341 *3 Central > North-west $p < 0.01$

342 *4. Frome > Flinders $p \approx 0.025$

343

344

345 **Table 2. Comparison of other parameters between *textilis* and *myall***

346

| | Population | N | Median | 95% CI | Significance |
|-------------|-----------------|----|--------|-----------|------------------|
| Wing | <i>textilis</i> | 16 | 66 | 64-68 | |
| | <i>myall</i> | 13 | 63 | 62-66 | $p \approx 0.05$ |
| Tarsus | <i>textilis</i> | 13 | 26.8 | 24.8-28.1 | |
| | <i>myall</i> | 13 | 25.5 | 24.1-26.4 | $p \approx 0.05$ |
| Bill length | <i>textilis</i> | 16 | 12.4 | 12.2-13.4 | |
| | <i>myall</i> | 13 | 13.9 | 13.5-14.6 | $p < 0.01$ |
| Bill depth | <i>textilis</i> | 16 | 5.45 | 5.3-5.7 | |
| | <i>myall</i> | 13 | 5.8 | 5.7-6.1 | $p < 0.01$ |
| Bill ratio | <i>textilis</i> | 16 | 2.3 | 2.2-2.48 | |
| | <i>myall</i> | 13 | 2.36 | 2.3-2.46 | NS |

347

348

349

350

351 **Fig. 1.** Localities of *myall* (arrowed) and *modestus* (other populations) specimens examined
352 (closed circles), defining distributional records of populations (open squares), place names
353 (closed triangles). Insert shows accepted *textilis*, *myall* and *modestus* records across Australia;
354 Dirk Hartog Island and Shark Bay arrowed.

355

356

357 **Fig. 2.** Factor analysis of male specimens; within *modestus*, Central (open squares), North-
358 western (open triangles), Flinders (+), Frome (open diamonds); within *textiles*, *myall* (closed
359 squares), northern inland (asterisks), Shark Bay (closed triangles), DHI (closed diamonds) and
360 the south-east specimen (grey diamond). Loadings for Factor 1 are tail length (0.89), wing length
361 (0.77) and bill depth (-0.71); for Factor 2 bill length (-0.87) and bill ratio (-0.87). Note that
362 plumage variables separating many populations and tail length sexual dimorphism are not
363 represented in this analysis.

364

365